	_	ase 1:04-cv-12011-MLW Documen	. 0 1	-17 Filed 10/06/2006 Page 1 01 3
		Page 157		Page 159
1	Q	This is Mr. Aguiar?	1	exceed the pulling power of the winches so that
2	_	Yes.	2	whatever you haul up, you can hold?
3		Again this opinion that Mr. Aguiar set forth in 11,	3	A Whatever you haul up, that is within the working
4	~	the opinion that he was contributorily negligent is	4	capacity of the winch and if you are trying to haul
5		only relevant if in fact the brake was not set and	5	something that is heavier than the winch can handle,
6		that was why the door dropped, correct?	6	then the brake wouldn't handle it, either.
10000	۸		7	Q You can't get it up to the boat?
7		That's correct.		
8	Q		8	A Right. Can't get it up to the boat, you are going
9		copy of the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar.	9	to break something.
10		MR. REGAN: It's part of his file.	10	Q If you get hung down on something like a wreck where
11		MR. ANDERSON: We'll mark exhibit	11	the thing is not going anywhere, you are wrapped
12		number I had previously put a sticker on a blank	12	around it, you can haul your winches but at some
13		piece of paper and we never drew on it. I took it	13	point your winches are just going to stall out,
14		off that piece of paper and put it on the affidavit	14	correct?
15		of Carlos Aguiar.	15	A Or a wire is going to brake or the nets will tear or
16		[Exhibit 9 marked for identification]	16	you will pull yourself down by the stern and flood
17	Q	Do you see that?	17	the vessel. A lot of bad things can happen.
18	Α	Yes.	18	Q But you would agree with me if you can get it up off
19	Q	Did you have an opportunity to review that affidavit	19	the bottom and up to the stern so the doors are
20		previously?	20	hanging port and starboard side, whatever that load
21	Α	Yes.	21	is, whatever that load is, the winches should be
22	Q	What part of that affidavit do you not agree with?	22	able to hold it if they are functioning properly?
23	Α	(No response)	23	A Yes.
24	Q	First of all, I understand you weren't on the boat	24	Q The affidavit of Carlos Aguiar basically says that
_		Page 158		Page 160
1		Page 158 at the time of the accident, correct?	1	Page 160 if the door dropped, it had to have been because the
1 2	A	at the time of the accident, correct?	1 2	if the door dropped, it had to have been because the
2		at the time of the accident, correct? Right.	2	if the door dropped, it had to have been because the line was, excuse me, wire was paid out from the main
2		at the time of the accident, correct? Right. And, therefore, you can't either agree or disagree	2	if the door dropped, it had to have been because the line was, excuse me, wire was paid out from the main winch, correct?
2 3 4		at the time of the accident, correct? Right. And, therefore, you can't either agree or disagree when he says a specific fact other than you can say	2 3 4	if the door dropped, it had to have been because the line was, excuse me, wire was paid out from the main winch, correct? MR. REGAN: Objection.
2 3 4 5	Q	at the time of the accident, correct? Right. And, therefore, you can't either agree or disagree when he says a specific fact other than you can say you don't think that is possible.	2 3 4 5	if the door dropped, it had to have been because the line was, excuse me, wire was paid out from the main winch, correct? MR. REGAN: Objection. A I'm not sure I understand.
2 3 4 5 6	Q	at the time of the accident, correct? Right. And, therefore, you can't either agree or disagree when he says a specific fact other than you can say you don't think that is possible. Other than to the extent that if a fact in his	2 3 4 5 6	if the door dropped, it had to have been because the line was, excuse me, wire was paid out from the main winch, correct? MR. REGAN: Objection. A I'm not sure I understand. Q In a nutshell what the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q	at the time of the accident, correct? Right. And, therefore, you can't either agree or disagree when he says a specific fact other than you can say you don't think that is possible. Other than to the extent that if a fact in his affidavit is different from what he testified to in	2 3 4 5 6 7	if the door dropped, it had to have been because the line was, excuse me, wire was paid out from the main winch, correct? MR. REGAN: Objection. A I'm not sure I understand. Q In a nutshell what the affidavit of Carlos Agui ar says is if the door dropped, it must be the case
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q	at the time of the accident, correct? Right. And, therefore, you can't either agree or disagree when he says a specific fact other than you can say you don't think that is possible. Other than to the extent that if a fact in his affidavit is different from what he testified to in his deposition or is contrary to what the physical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	if the door dropped, it had to have been because the line was, excuse me, wire was paid out from the main winch, correct? MR. REGAN: Objection. A I'm not sure I understand. Q In a nutshell what the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar says is if the door dropped, it must be the case that the line was let out from the winch Strike
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q	at the time of the accident, correct? Right. And, therefore, you can't either agree or disagree when he says a specific fact other than you can say you don't think that is possible. Other than to the extent that if a fact in his affidavit is different from what he testified to in his deposition or is contrary to what the physical evidence would suggest is reasonable under the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	if the door dropped, it had to have been because the line was, excuse me, wire was paid out from the main winch, correct? MR. REGAN: Objection. A I'm not sure I understand. Q In a nutshell what the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar says is if the door dropped, it must be the case that the line was let out from the winch Strike that. Withdraw the question. I'll move on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q	at the time of the accident, correct? Right. And, therefore, you can't either agree or disagree when he says a specific fact other than you can say you don't think that is possible. Other than to the extent that if a fact in his affidavit is different from what he testified to in his deposition or is contrary to what the physical evidence would suggest is reasonable under the circumstances, then I would say that he would have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	if the door dropped, it had to have been because the line was, excuse me, wire was paid out from the main winch, correct? MR. REGAN: Objection. A I'm not sure I understand. Q In a nutshell what the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar says is if the door dropped, it must be the case that the line was let out from the winch Strike that. Withdraw the question. I'll move on. Directing your attention to page three of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q	at the time of the accident, correct? Right. And, therefore, you can't either agree or disagree when he says a specific fact other than you can say you don't think that is possible. Other than to the extent that if a fact in his affidavit is different from what he testified to in his deposition or is contrary to what the physical evidence would suggest is reasonable under the circumstances, then I would say that he would have to go through each item line by line to find areas	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	if the door dropped, it had to have been because the line was, excuse me, wire was paid out from the main winch, correct? MR. REGAN: Objection. A I'm not sure I understand. Q In a nutshell what the affidavit of Carlos Agui ar says is if the door dropped, it must be the case that the line was let out from the winch Strike that. Withdraw the question. I'll move on. Directing your attention to page three of the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar, do you see the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q	at the time of the accident, correct? Right. And, therefore, you can't either agree or disagree when he says a specific fact other than you can say you don't think that is possible. Other than to the extent that if a fact in his affidavit is different from what he testified to in his deposition or is contrary to what the physical evidence would suggest is reasonable under the circumstances, then I would say that he would have to go through each item line by line to find areas where I would agree or disagree.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	if the door dropped, it had to have been because the line was, excuse me, wire was paid out from the main winch, correct? MR. REGAN: Objection. A I'm not sure I understand. Q In a nutshell what the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar says is if the door dropped, it must be the case that the line was let out from the winch Strike that. Withdraw the question. I'll move on. Directing your attention to page three of the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar, do you see the drawing on page three of the affidavit of Carlos
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q	at the time of the accident, correct? Right. And, therefore, you can't either agree or disagree when he says a specific fact other than you can say you don't think that is possible. Other than to the extent that if a fact in his affidavit is different from what he testified to in his deposition or is contrary to what the physical evidence would suggest is reasonable under the circumstances, then I would say that he would have to go through each item line by line to find areas where I would agree or disagree. Do you believe it's possible that excessive amount	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	if the door dropped, it had to have been because the line was, excuse me, wire was paid out from the main winch, correct? MR. REGAN: Objection. A I'm not sure I understand. Q In a nutshell what the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar says is if the door dropped, it must be the case that the line was let out from the winch Strike that. Withdraw the question. I'll move on. Directing your attention to page three of the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar, do you see the drawing on page three of the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q	at the time of the accident, correct? Right. And, therefore, you can't either agree or disagree when he says a specific fact other than you can say you don't think that is possible. Other than to the extent that if a fact in his affidavit is different from what he testified to in his deposition or is contrary to what the physical evidence would suggest is reasonable under the circumstances, then I would say that he would have to go through each item line by line to find areas where I would agree or disagree. Do you believe it's possible that excessive amount of mud could cause the door to drop down into the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	if the door dropped, it had to have been because the line was, excuse me, wire was paid out from the main winch, correct? MR. REGAN: Objection. A I'm not sure I understand. Q In a nutshell what the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar says is if the door dropped, it must be the case that the line was let out from the winch Strike that. Withdraw the question. I'll move on. Directing your attention to page three of the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar, do you see the drawing on page three of the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q A	at the time of the accident, correct? Right. And, therefore, you can't either agree or disagree when he says a specific fact other than you can say you don't think that is possible. Other than to the extent that if a fact in his affidavit is different from what he testified to in his deposition or is contrary to what the physical evidence would suggest is reasonable under the circumstances, then I would say that he would have to go through each item line by line to find areas where I would agree or disagree. Do you believe it's possible that excessive amount of mud could cause the door to drop down into the water, mud in the net?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	if the door dropped, it had to have been because the line was, excuse me, wire was paid out from the main winch, correct? MR. REGAN: Objection. A I'm not sure I understand. Q In a nutshell what the affidavit of Carlos Agui ar says is if the door dropped, it must be the case that the line was let out from the winch Strike that. Withdraw the question. I'll move on. Directing your attention to page three of the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar, do you see the drawing on page three of the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar? A Yes. Q That is a schematic drawing?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A A	at the time of the accident, correct? Right. And, therefore, you can't either agree or disagree when he says a specific fact other than you can say you don't think that is possible. Other than to the extent that if a fact in his affidavit is different from what he testified to in his deposition or is contrary to what the physical evidence would suggest is reasonable under the circumstances, then I would say that he would have to go through each item line by line to find areas where I would agree or disagree. Do you believe it's possible that excessive amount of mud could cause the door to drop down into the water, mud in the net? No, I don't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	if the door dropped, it had to have been because the line was, excuse me, wire was paid out from the main winch, correct? MR. REGAN: Objection. A I'm not sure I understand. Q In a nutshell what the affidavit of Carlos Agui ar says is if the door dropped, it must be the case that the line was let out from the winch Strike that. Withdraw the question. I'll move on. Directing your attention to page three of the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar, do you see the drawing on page three of the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar? A Yes. Q That is a schematic drawing? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A A	at the time of the accident, correct? Right. And, therefore, you can't either agree or disagree when he says a specific fact other than you can say you don't think that is possible. Other than to the extent that if a fact in his affidavit is different from what he testified to in his deposition or is contrary to what the physical evidence would suggest is reasonable under the circumstances, then I would say that he would have to go through each item line by line to find areas where I would agree or disagree. Do you believe it's possible that excessive amount of mud could cause the door to drop down into the water, mud in the net? No, I don't. You would agree with me no matter how much is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	if the door dropped, it had to have been because the line was, excuse me, wire was paid out from the main winch, correct? MR. REGAN: Objection. A I'm not sure I understand. Q In a nutshell what the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar says is if the door dropped, it must be the case that the line was let out from the winch Strike that. Withdraw the question. I'll move on. Directing your attention to page three of the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar, do you see the drawing on page three of the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar? A Yes. Q That is a schematic drawing? A Yes. Q Do you believe that is an accurate schematic drawing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A A	at the time of the accident, correct? Right. And, therefore, you can't either agree or disagree when he says a specific fact other than you can say you don't think that is possible. Other than to the extent that if a fact in his affidavit is different from what he testified to in his deposition or is contrary to what the physical evidence would suggest is reasonable under the circumstances, then I would say that he would have to go through each item line by line to find areas where I would agree or disagree. Do you believe it's possible that excessive amount of mud could cause the door to drop down into the water, mud in the net? No, I don't. You would agree with me no matter how much is the main winch's, how much the weight that the main	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	if the door dropped, it had to have been because the line was, excuse me, wire was paid out from the main winch, correct? MR. REGAN: Objection. A I'm not sure I understand. Q In a nutshell what the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar says is if the door dropped, it must be the case that the line was let out from the winch Strike that. Withdraw the question. I'll move on. Directing your attention to page three of the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar, do you see the drawing on page three of the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar? A Yes. Q That is a schematic drawing? A Yes. Q Do you believe that is an accurate schematic drawing of the winch, wire, gallus frame, block, door and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q A A	at the time of the accident, correct? Right. And, therefore, you can't either agree or disagree when he says a specific fact other than you can say you don't think that is possible. Other than to the extent that if a fact in his affidavit is different from what he testified to in his deposition or is contrary to what the physical evidence would suggest is reasonable under the circumstances, then I would say that he would have to go through each item line by line to find areas where I would agree or disagree. Do you believe it's possible that excessive amount of mud could cause the door to drop down into the water, mud in the net? No, I don't. You would agree with me no matter how much is the main winch's, how much the weight that the main winch's haul up of the net, that the brake should	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	if the door dropped, it had to have been because the line was, excuse me, wire was paid out from the main winch, correct? MR. REGAN: Objection. A I'm not sure I understand. Q In a nutshell what the affidavit of Carlos Agui ar says is if the door dropped, it must be the case that the line was let out from the winch Strike that. Withdraw the question. I'll move on. Directing your attention to page three of the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar, do you see the drawing on page three of the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar? A Yes. Q That is a schematic drawing? A Yes. Q Do you believe that is an accurate schematic drawing of the winch, wire, gallus frame, block, door and net mechanism?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q	at the time of the accident, correct? Right. And, therefore, you can't either agree or disagree when he says a specific fact other than you can say you don't think that is possible. Other than to the extent that if a fact in his affidavit is different from what he testified to in his deposition or is contrary to what the physical evidence would suggest is reasonable under the circumstances, then I would say that he would have to go through each item line by line to find areas where I would agree or disagree. Do you believe it's possible that excessive amount of mud could cause the door to drop down into the water, mud in the net? No, I don't. You would agree with me no matter how much is the main winch's, how much the weight that the main winch's haul up of the net, that the brake should hold whatever that weight is?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	if the door dropped, it had to have been because the line was, excuse me, wire was paid out from the main winch, correct? MR. REGAN: Objection. A I'm not sure I understand. Q In a nutshell what the affidavit of Carlos Agui ar says is if the door dropped, it must be the case that the line was let out from the winch Strike that. Withdraw the question. I'll move on. Directing your attention to page three of the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar, do you see the drawing on page three of the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar? A Yes. Q That is a schematic drawing? A Yes. Q Do you believe that is an accurate schematic drawing of the winch, wire, gallus frame, block, door and net mechanism? A It's a basic schematic of one side of the vessel.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q	at the time of the accident, correct? Right. And, therefore, you can't either agree or disagree when he says a specific fact other than you can say you don't think that is possible. Other than to the extent that if a fact in his affidavit is different from what he testified to in his deposition or is contrary to what the physical evidence would suggest is reasonable under the circumstances, then I would say that he would have to go through each item line by line to find areas where I would agree or disagree. Do you believe it's possible that excessive amount of mud could cause the door to drop down into the water, mud in the net? No, I don't. You would agree with me no matter how much is the main winch's, how much the weight that the main winch's haul up of the net, that the brake should hold whatever that weight is? Under normal circumstances, unless they are hauling	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	if the door dropped, it had to have been because the line was, excuse me, wire was paid out from the main winch, correct? MR. REGAN: Objection. A I'm not sure I understand. Q In a nutshell what the affidavit of Carlos Agui ar says is if the door dropped, it must be the case that the line was let out from the winch Strike that. Withdraw the question. I'll move on. Directing your attention to page three of the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar, do you see the drawing on page three of the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar? A Yes. Q That is a schematic drawing? A Yes. Q Do you believe that is an accurate schematic drawing of the winch, wire, gallus frame, block, door and net mechanism? A It's a basic schematic of one side of the vessel. It doesn't show the other side, the other block,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q	at the time of the accident, correct? Right. And, therefore, you can't either agree or disagree when he says a specific fact other than you can say you don't think that is possible. Other than to the extent that if a fact in his affidavit is different from what he testified to in his deposition or is contrary to what the physical evidence would suggest is reasonable under the circumstances, then I would say that he would have to go through each item line by line to find areas where I would agree or disagree. Do you believe it's possible that excessive amount of mud could cause the door to drop down into the water, mud in the net? No, I don't. You would agree with me no matter how much is the main winch's, how much the weight that the main winch's haul up of the net, that the brake should hold whatever that weight is? Under normal circumstances, unless they are hauling up a load that is so heavy that it exceeds the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	if the door dropped, it had to have been because the line was, excuse me, wire was paid out from the main winch, correct? MR. REGAN: Objection. A I'm not sure I understand. Q In a nutshell what the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar says is if the door dropped, it must be the case that the line was let out from the winch Strike that. Withdraw the question. I'll move on. Directing your attention to page three of the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar, do you see the drawing on page three of the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar? A Yes. Q That is a schematic drawing? A Yes. Q Do you believe that is an accurate schematic drawing of the winch, wire, gallus frame, block, door and net mechanism? A It's a basic schematic of one side of the vessel. It doesn't show the other side, the other block, other door or wire connected to the door. It's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q	at the time of the accident, correct? Right. And, therefore, you can't either agree or disagree when he says a specific fact other than you can say you don't think that is possible. Other than to the extent that if a fact in his affidavit is different from what he testified to in his deposition or is contrary to what the physical evidence would suggest is reasonable under the circumstances, then I would say that he would have to go through each item line by line to find areas where I would agree or disagree. Do you believe it's possible that excessive amount of mud could cause the door to drop down into the water, mud in the net? No, I don't. You would agree with me no matter how much is the main winch's, how much the weight that the main winch's haul up of the net, that the brake should hold whatever that weight is? Under normal circumstances, unless they are hauling up a load that is so heavy that it exceeds the braking power of the mechanism.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	if the door dropped, it had to have been because the line was, excuse me, wire was paid out from the main winch, correct? MR. REGAN: Objection. A I'm not sure I understand. Q In a nutshell what the affidavit of Carlos Agui ar says is if the door dropped, it must be the case that the line was let out from the winch Strike that. Withdraw the question. I'll move on. Directing your attention to page three of the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar, do you see the drawing on page three of the affidavit of Carlos Aguiar? A Yes. Q That is a schematic drawing? A Yes. Q Do you believe that is an accurate schematic drawing of the winch, wire, gallus frame, block, door and net mechanism? A It's a basic schematic of one side of the vessel. It doesn't show the other side, the other block,

40 (Pages 157 to 160)

		Dage 161			Page 163
1		Page 161 of the system, do you believe that schematic drawing	1	0	
2		is correct?	2		that in fact he never moved the controls, correct?
3		Yes, that is a fairly accurate rendition of the	3	Α	That he never moved the controls and there was
4		system.	4		nothing wrong with the brake and he never had a
5	Q	Does that steel wire, does that stretch?	5		problem with the brake before or after the incident.
6	A	Does it stretch?	6	0	Mr. Aguiar testified in his deposition that the door
7	Q	Yes.	7	4	lifted up and dropped down. Do you remember that
8	A	The towing wire?	8		testimony?
9	Q	Yes.	9	٨	Correct, and that is a very, very accurate
	-		10	^	description of the movement that you would get in a
10	A	If it stretches, it's an imperceptible amount of			
11	0	stretch.	11	0	seaway with a boat pitching and rolling.
12	Q	Meaning it has nothing to do with this case?	12	Q	
13	A	No.	13	A	
14	Q		14	Q	
15		the towing wire?	15	Α	,
16		I never said that.	16		or two inches. He described that it moved and the
17	Q	As I understand your opinion, you think that the	17		chain came taught. Mr. Lima described the boat was
18		door did not in fact drop relative to the towing	18		rolling, it always moves, it's a common occurrence.
19		block?	19		There is no evidence that the wire slipped because
20	Α	No.	20		Mr. Lima who I believe was truthful in his
21	Q	But in fact the boat rolled, the door swung out	21		deposition does not say that the wire slipped and he
22	Α	And down.	22		had to haul it back in. If the wire slipped, he
23	Q	and the whole boat, gallus frame, block and	23		would have had to haul it back in. If it slipped
24		everything moved toward the water?	24		and the brake was not holding, it would have gone
_			-	_	
1		Page 162			Page 164
	Δ	The state of the s	1		
	A	Yes.	1		more than just the distance to make the chain come
2		Yes. The movement toward the water gave Mr. Aguiar the	2	0	more than just the distance to make the chain come taught, it would have kept on going.
2	Q	Yes. The movement toward the water gave Mr. Aguiar the perception that the door was dropping?	2	Q	more than just the distance to make the chain come taught, it would have kept on going. If it did keep on going and the door ended up
2 3 4	Q A	Yes. The movement toward the water gave Mr. Aguiar the perception that the door was dropping? Yes.	2 3 4		more than just the distance to make the chain come taught, it would have kept on going. If it did keep on going and the door ended up completely in the water
2 3 4 5	Q A	Yes. The movement toward the water gave Mr. Aguiar the perception that the door was dropping? Yes. But in fact it was not moving relative to him,	2 3 4 5	А	more than just the distance to make the chain come taught, it would have kept on going. If it did keep on going and the door ended up completely in the water He would have kept on going down to the bottom.
2 3 4 5 6	Q A Q	Yes. The movement toward the water gave Mr. Aguiar the perception that the door was dropping? Yes. But in fact it was not moving relative to him, correct?	2 3 4 5 6	А	more than just the distance to make the chain come taught, it would have kept on going. If it did keep on going and the door ended up completely in the water He would have kept on going down to the bottom. and had to be hauled back up again, that would
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q A Q	Yes. The movement toward the water gave Mr. Aguiar the perception that the door was dropping? Yes. But in fact it was not moving relative to him, correct? It was moving relative to him because it was moving	2 3 4 5 6 7	А	more than just the distance to make the chain come taught, it would have kept on going. If it did keep on going and the door ended up completely in the water He would have kept on going down to the bottom. and had to be hauled back up again, that would suggest that it wasn't from the rolling of the boat,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A Q	Yes. The movement toward the water gave Mr. Aguiar the perception that the door was dropping? Yes. But in fact it was not moving relative to him, correct? It was moving relative to him because it was moving away from the fixed platform on which he was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Q	more than just the distance to make the chain come taught, it would have kept on going. If it did keep on going and the door ended up completely in the water He would have kept on going down to the bottom. and had to be hauled back up again, that would suggest that it wasn't from the rolling of the boat, it was someone let line out of the winch, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A Q A	Yes. The movement toward the water gave Mr. Aguiar the perception that the door was dropping? Yes. But in fact it was not moving relative to him, correct? It was moving relative to him because it was moving away from the fixed platform on which he was standing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q	more than just the distance to make the chain come taught, it would have kept on going. If it did keep on going and the door ended up completely in the water He would have kept on going down to the bottom and had to be hauled back up again, that would suggest that it wasn't from the rolling of the boat, it was someone let line out of the winch, correct? Or the brake was let go.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q	Yes. The movement toward the water gave Mr. Aguiar the perception that the door was dropping? Yes. But in fact it was not moving relative to him, correct? It was moving relative to him because it was moving away from the fixed platform on which he was standing. It was only moving laterally away from him, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q	more than just the distance to make the chain come taught, it would have kept on going. If it did keep on going and the door ended up completely in the water He would have kept on going down to the bottom. and had to be hauled back up again, that would suggest that it wasn't from the rolling of the boat, it was someone let line out of the winch, correct? Or the brake was let go. Or the brake was let go, but somehow the line came
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A Q A Q	Yes. The movement toward the water gave Mr. Aguiar the perception that the door was dropping? Yes. But in fact it was not moving relative to him, correct? It was moving relative to him because it was moving away from the fixed platform on which he was standing. It was only moving laterally away from him, it actually wasn't moving vertical?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Q	more than just the distance to make the chain come taught, it would have kept on going. If it did keep on going and the door ended up completely in the water He would have kept on going down to the bottom and had to be hauled back up again, that would suggest that it wasn't from the rolling of the boat, it was someone let line out of the winch, correct? Or the brake was let go.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q A Q	Yes. The movement toward the water gave Mr. Aguiar the perception that the door was dropping? Yes. But in fact it was not moving relative to him, correct? It was moving relative to him because it was moving away from the fixed platform on which he was standing. It was only moving laterally away from him, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q A Q	more than just the distance to make the chain come taught, it would have kept on going. If it did keep on going and the door ended up completely in the water He would have kept on going down to the bottom and had to be hauled back up again, that would suggest that it wasn't from the rolling of the boat, it was someone let line out of the winch, correct? Or the brake was let go. Or the brake was let go, but somehow the line came out of the winch? That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q A Q A A	Yes. The movement toward the water gave Mr. Aguiar the perception that the door was dropping? Yes. But in fact it was not moving relative to him, correct? It was moving relative to him because it was moving away from the fixed platform on which he was standing. It was only moving laterally away from him, it actually wasn't moving vertical? He was moving down, too. He was moving down toward the water, also.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Q A Q	more than just the distance to make the chain come taught, it would have kept on going. If it did keep on going and the door ended up completely in the water He would have kept on going down to the bottom and had to be hauled back up again, that would suggest that it wasn't from the rolling of the boat, it was someone let line out of the winch, correct? Or the brake was let go. Or the brake was let go, but somehow the line came out of the winch?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q A Q A A	Yes. The movement toward the water gave Mr. Aguiar the perception that the door was dropping? Yes. But in fact it was not moving relative to him, correct? It was moving relative to him because it was moving away from the fixed platform on which he was standing. It was only moving laterally away from him, it actually wasn't moving vertical? He was moving down, too. He was moving down toward	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Q A Q	more than just the distance to make the chain come taught, it would have kept on going. If it did keep on going and the door ended up completely in the water He would have kept on going down to the bottom and had to be hauled back up again, that would suggest that it wasn't from the rolling of the boat, it was someone let line out of the winch, correct? Or the brake was let go. Or the brake was let go, but somehow the line came out of the winch? That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A Q A A	Yes. The movement toward the water gave Mr. Aguiar the perception that the door was dropping? Yes. But in fact it was not moving relative to him, correct? It was moving relative to him because it was moving away from the fixed platform on which he was standing. It was only moving laterally away from him, it actually wasn't moving vertical? He was moving down, too. He was moving down toward the water, also.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q A Q	more than just the distance to make the chain come taught, it would have kept on going. If it did keep on going and the door ended up completely in the water He would have kept on going down to the bottom and had to be hauled back up again, that would suggest that it wasn't from the rolling of the boat, it was someone let line out of the winch, correct? Or the brake was let go. Or the brake was let go, but somehow the line came out of the winch? That's correct. If this occurs They were out on George's Bank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q A Q A Q	Yes. The movement toward the water gave Mr. Aguiar the perception that the door was dropping? Yes. But in fact it was not moving relative to him, correct? It was moving relative to him because it was moving away from the fixed platform on which he was standing. It was only moving laterally away from him, it actually wasn't moving vertical? He was moving down, too. He was moving down toward the water, also. I got it. Okay. So you don't think this mud in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Q A Q	more than just the distance to make the chain come taught, it would have kept on going. If it did keep on going and the door ended up completely in the water He would have kept on going down to the bottom and had to be hauled back up again, that would suggest that it wasn't from the rolling of the boat, it was someone let line out of the winch, correct? Or the brake was let go. Or the brake was let go, but somehow the line came out of the winch? That's correct. If this occurs They were out on George's Bank area fishing at the time of the accident? Yes. I'm not sure of their exact position.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q A Q A Q A	Yes. The movement toward the water gave Mr. Aguiar the perception that the door was dropping? Yes. But in fact it was not moving relative to him, correct? It was moving relative to him because it was moving away from the fixed platform on which he was standing. It was only moving laterally away from him, it actually wasn't moving vertical? He was moving down, too. He was moving down toward the water, also. I got it. Okay. So you don't think this mud in the note has anything to do with this case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q A Q A	more than just the distance to make the chain come taught, it would have kept on going. If it did keep on going and the door ended up completely in the water He would have kept on going down to the bottom and had to be hauled back up again, that would suggest that it wasn't from the rolling of the boat, it was someone let line out of the winch, correct? Or the brake was let go. Or the brake was let go, but somehow the line came out of the winch? That's correct. If this occurs They were out on George's Bank area fishing at the time of the accident? Yes. I'm not sure of their exact position. At some point east of New Bedford?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q A Q A	Yes. The movement toward the water gave Mr. Aguiar the perception that the door was dropping? Yes. But in fact it was not moving relative to him, correct? It was moving relative to him because it was moving away from the fixed platform on which he was standing. It was only moving laterally away from him, it actually wasn't moving vertical? He was moving down, too. He was moving down toward the water, also. I got it. Okay. So you don't think this mud in the note has anything to do with this case? I don't believe it does.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A Q A Q	more than just the distance to make the chain come taught, it would have kept on going. If it did keep on going and the door ended up completely in the water He would have kept on going down to the bottom. and had to be hauled back up again, that would suggest that it wasn't from the rolling of the boat, it was someone let line out of the winch, correct? Or the brake was let go. Or the brake was let go, but somehow the line came out of the winch? That's correct. If this occurs They were out on George's Bank area fishing at the time of the accident? Yes. I'm not sure of their exact position. At some point east of New Bedford? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A Q A Q A	Yes. The movement toward the water gave Mr. Aguiar the perception that the door was dropping? Yes. But in fact it was not moving relative to him, correct? It was moving relative to him because it was moving away from the fixed platform on which he was standing. It was only moving laterally away from him, it actually wasn't moving vertical? He was moving down, too. He was moving down toward the water, also. I got it. Okay. So you don't think this mud in the note has anything to do with this case? I don't believe it does. So if somebody testified that mud in the net would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q A Q A Q A	more than just the distance to make the chain come taught, it would have kept on going. If it did keep on going and the door ended up completely in the water He would have kept on going down to the bottom. and had to be hauled back up again, that would suggest that it wasn't from the rolling of the boat, it was someone let line out of the winch, correct? Or the brake was let go. Or the brake was let go, but somehow the line came out of the winch? That's correct. If this occurs They were out on George's Bank area fishing at the time of the accident? Yes. I'm not sure of their exact position. At some point east of New Bedford? Yes. In New England waters?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A Q A	Yes. The movement toward the water gave Mr. Aguiar the perception that the door was dropping? Yes. But in fact it was not moving relative to him, correct? It was moving relative to him because it was moving away from the fixed platform on which he was standing. It was only moving laterally away from him, it actually wasn't moving vertical? He was moving down, too. He was moving down toward the water, also. I got it. Okay. So you don't think this mud in the note has anything to do with this case? I don't believe it does. So if somebody testified that mud in the net would cause the door to drop, you would disagree with that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A Q A Q A	more than just the distance to make the chain come taught, it would have kept on going. If it did keep on going and the door ended up completely in the water He would have kept on going down to the bottom. and had to be hauled back up again, that would suggest that it wasn't from the rolling of the boat, it was someone let line out of the winch, correct? Or the brake was let go. Or the brake was let go, but somehow the line came out of the winch? That's correct. If this occurs They were out on George's Bank area fishing at the time of the accident? Yes. I'm not sure of their exact position. At some point east of New Bedford? Yes. In New England waters?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A Q A Q	Yes. The movement toward the water gave Mr. Aguiar the perception that the door was dropping? Yes. But in fact it was not moving relative to him, correct? It was moving relative to him because it was moving away from the fixed platform on which he was standing. It was only moving laterally away from him, it actually wasn't moving vertical? He was moving down, too. He was moving down toward the water, also. I got it. Okay. So you don't think this mud in the note has anything to do with this case? I don't believe it does. So if somebody testified that mud in the net would cause the door to drop, you would disagree with that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Q A Q A Q A	more than just the distance to make the chain come taught, it would have kept on going. If it did keep on going and the door ended up completely in the water He would have kept on going down to the bottom and had to be hauled back up again, that would suggest that it wasn't from the rolling of the boat, it was someone let line out of the winch, correct? Or the brake was let go. Or the brake was let go, but somehow the line came out of the winch? That's correct. If this occurs They were out on George's Bank area fishing at the time of the accident? Yes. I'm not sure of their exact position. At some point east of New Bedford? Yes. In New England waters?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q A Q A Q	Yes. The movement toward the water gave Mr. Aguiar the perception that the door was dropping? Yes. But in fact it was not moving relative to him, correct? It was moving relative to him because it was moving away from the fixed platform on which he was standing. It was only moving laterally away from him, it actually wasn't moving vertical? He was moving down, too. He was moving down toward the water, also. I got it. Okay. So you don't think this mud in the note has anything to do with this case? I don't believe it does. So if somebody testified that mud in the net would cause the door to drop, you would disagree with that? My opinion is based on the part that Mr. Lima did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A Q A Q A	more than just the distance to make the chain come taught, it would have kept on going. If it did keep on going and the door ended up completely in the water He would have kept on going down to the bottom and had to be hauled back up again, that would suggest that it wasn't from the rolling of the boat, it was someone let line out of the winch, correct? Or the brake was let go. Or the brake was let go, but somehow the line came out of the winch? That's correct. If this occurs They were out on George's Bank area fishing at the time of the accident? Yes. I'm not sure of their exact position. At some point east of New Bedford? Yes. In New England waters? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q A Q A Q	Yes. The movement toward the water gave Mr. Aguiar the perception that the door was dropping? Yes. But in fact it was not moving relative to him, correct? It was moving relative to him because it was moving away from the fixed platform on which he was standing. It was only moving laterally away from him, it actually wasn't moving vertical? He was moving down, too. He was moving down toward the water, also. I got it. Okay. So you don't think this mud in the note has anything to do with this case? I don't believe it does. So if somebody testified that mud in the net would cause the door to drop, you would disagree with that? My opinion is based on the part that Mr. Lima did not testify that the wire moved. There was no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q A Q A Q	more than just the distance to make the chain come taught, it would have kept on going. If it did keep on going and the door ended up completely in the water He would have kept on going down to the bottom and had to be hauled back up again, that would suggest that it wasn't from the rolling of the boat, it was someone let line out of the winch, correct? Or the brake was let go. Or the brake was let go, but somehow the line came out of the winch? That's correct. If this occurs They were out on George's Bank area fishing at the time of the accident? Yes. I'm not sure of their exact position. At some point east of New Bedford? Yes. In New England waters? Yes. In New England waters east of New Bedford or east of Nantucket let's say, what is one- to three-foot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q A Q A Q A	Yes. The movement toward the water gave Mr. Aguiar the perception that the door was dropping? Yes. But in fact it was not moving relative to him, correct? It was moving relative to him because it was moving away from the fixed platform on which he was standing. It was only moving laterally away from him, it actually wasn't moving vertical? He was moving down, too. He was moving down toward the water, also. I got it. Okay. So you don't think this mud in the note has anything to do with this case? I don't believe it does. So if somebody testified that mud in the net would cause the door to drop, you would disagree with that? My opinion is based on the part that Mr. Lima did not testify that the wire moved. There was no testimony from him as the winch operator that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A Q A Q A Q	more than just the distance to make the chain come taught, it would have kept on going. If it did keep on going and the door ended up completely in the water He would have kept on going down to the bottom. and had to be hauled back up again, that would suggest that it wasn't from the rolling of the boat, it was someone let line out of the winch, correct? Or the brake was let go. Or the brake was let go, but somehow the line came out of the winch? That's correct. If this occurs They were out on George's Bank area fishing at the time of the accident? Yes. I'm not sure of their exact position. At some point east of New Bedford? Yes. In New England waters? Yes. In New England waters east of New Bedford or east of Nantucket let's say, what is one- to three-foot seas, how would you characterize those?

41 (Pages 161 to 164)

1

- seas caused by shoaling on George's Banks based on
- 2 the movement of the water over the shoal area from
- 3 deep water. How I would characterize it? I would
- 4 characterize it as a seaway that is constantly
- 5 moving and you have tides and current that are
- 6 moving in opposite directions all the time. It's a
- 7 very dynamic waterway that is world renown.
- 8 Q The question was how would you characterize one to
- 9 three feet? I am looking for an answer like that is
- 10 an average or really calm.
- 11 A One to three feet would be a good day, a calm day.
- 12 Q You are aware that on many commercial fishing
- vessels they fish upward to ten- to 15-foot seas?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And when they start getting above 15 feet, some
- 16 people fish, some people don't?
- 17 A Right.
- 18 Q That's a very calm day.
- 19 A That's a good day.
- 20 Q If what you are saying is correct with respect to
- 21 what actually caused the chain to become taught and
- 22 if that occurred on a calm day, would you agree with
- 23 me that it must be the case that that chain is
- 24 getting taught all the time?

Page 167

- that before you set stopper chains or safety chains
- 2 or ropes, you have to make sure that you are doing
- 3 it at the period of time when the boat is moving
- 4 that it's safe to do it.
- 5 Q I'm only talking specifically of the chain in the
- 6 pelican hook that Mr. Aguiar was holding onto at the
- 7 time of his accident, and I'm specifically talking
- 8 about the MY WAY.
- 9 A I answered that.
- 10 Q Is it your opinion that on that vessel with that
- 11 chain that a crew member in order to safely secure
- 12 the door would have to time the closing of the
- 13 pelican hook with the roll of the boat?
- 14 A I'm saying it's possible under certain circumstances
- 15 he would have to do that. But before you put your
- 16 hand into any position and any objects that are
- 17 moving or can be moving, you have to make sure that
- 18 it's safe to do so. So what would a prudent person
- do is get into that situation, observe the dynamics at that period of time, and make his judgment and
- 21 action coincidental with his finding of the safe
- 22 working practice at that moment in time. You can't
- 23 make a general overall statement that is saying on
- 24 the MY WAY it's this way on other boats it's a

Page 166

1

- A No, I would agree that it's a timing evolution. You
- 2 have to time it and be aware of the movement that
- 3 you have at the present time and make sure that
- 4 before you try to secure that hook, that you are
- 5 confident that the movement is in the direction that
- 6 will afford you the best opportunity to set the hook 7 and not at the time the vessel is rolling away from
- and not at the time the vessel is rolling away from
 you. You have to look at it and say, okay, now I'm
- going to do it. If it's, the situation that the
- 10 boat is rolling the other way, you can say I'm going
- 11 to wait for the roll to come back the other way
- 12 before I try to secure the hook.
- 13 Q So you are saying on the FISHING VESSEL MY WAY in
- 14 order to secure the pelican hook, you have to time
- 15 it with the roll of the vessel?
- 16 A On every boat you have to time things with the roll
- 17 and the movement of the boat.
- 18 Q I'm not talking about every boat, I'm talking about
- 19 the FISHING VESSEL MY WAY. Is it your opinion on
- 20 the FISHING VESSEL MY WAY in order to safely secure
- 21 the door to the safety chain, a fisherman would have
- 22 to time the closing of the pelican hook and the
- 23 securing the mechanism with the roll of the boat?
- 24 A I'm saying that on any boat including the MY WAY

- Page 168 different way. It's a safe practice on any boat at
- 2 sea, whether it's a sailboat or fishing boat.
 - O Safe practice to do what?
- 4 A To make sure your hands are clear and the work that
- 5 you are going to be performing can be done in a safe
- 6 way to not result in injury.
- 7 Q It's always true it's a safe practice to do work in
- 8 a way that will not result in injury, you would
- 9 agree with me?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q It's tautology?
- 12 A Correct.

14

- 13 Q I'm asking you with respect to the MY WAY, you are
 - an expert on the practices, safe practices on the
- 15 MY WAY, correct?
- 16 A At that particular evolution. I have not gone
- 17 aboard the MY WAY to evaluate the safe working
- 18 practices on every aspect of this operation. I'm
- 19 saying in this instance for securing the safety
- 20 chain, he should have observed the movement of the
- 21 boat and the door and his chain in his hand, two
- 22 hands to make sure he wasn't putting his hand into
- 23 something is that too risky.
 - 4 Q The assumption is that the door was even swinging,